arXiv:1510.06806v2 [math-ph] 27 Jun 2016

# SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF A COMPLEX SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR IN THE SEMICLASSICAL LIMIT

YANIV ALMOG AND RAPHAËL HENRY

Abstract. We consider the Dirichlet realization of the operator $-h^2\Delta + iV$ in the semi-classical limit $h \to 0$, where $V$ is a smooth real potential with no critical points. For a one dimensional setting, we obtain the complete asymptotic expansion, in powers of $h$, of each eigenvalue. In two dimensions we obtain the left margin of the spectrum, under some additional assumptions.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the operator

$$\mathcal{A}_h = -h^2\Delta + iV\,, \tag{1.1a}$$

defined on

$$D(\mathcal{A}_h) = H^1_0(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(\Omega,\mathbb{C})\,, \tag{1.1b}$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$.
We seek an approximation for $\inf \mathrm{Re}\,\sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ in the limit $h \to 0$. The domain $\Omega$ is smooth, i.e., $\partial\Omega \subset C^3$ and the potential $V$ is at least in $C^3(\bar{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$. Let $\partial\Omega_\perp$ denote a subset of $\partial\Omega$ where $\nabla V \perp \partial\Omega$. Note that in view of the continuity of $V$ on $\partial\Omega$, we must have $\partial\Omega_\perp \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_\perp$ satisfy

$$c_m = |\nabla V(x_0)| = \min_{x\in\partial\Omega_\perp} |\nabla V(x)|\,.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \partial\Omega_\perp \,:\, |\nabla V(x)| = |\nabla V(x_0)|\,,\; V(x) = V(x_0)\}\,. \tag{1.2}$$

(Note that in the case where $x_0$ is not unique, $\mathcal{S}$ depends on the choice of $x_0$.) For every $x \in \mathcal{S}$ set

$$c(x) = \nabla V(x)\cdot\nu(x) = \pm c_m\,,$$

and

$$\alpha(x) = t\cdot D^2V(x)t - \kappa(x)\frac{\partial V}{\partial\nu}(x) \quad t\cdot\nu(x) = 0\,,\; |t| = 1\,,$$

where $\nu$ is the outward normal and $\kappa$ denotes the local curvature. (Note that $\alpha = \partial^2 V/\partial s^2$ where $s$ is the arclength on $\partial\Omega$.) We now assume that

$$\alpha(x)c(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Without any loss of generality we may then assume $\alpha(x) > 0$ in $\mathcal{S}$, otherwise we may consider $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_h$ instead of $\mathcal{A}_h$.

The spectral analysis of (1.1) has several applications in Mathematical Physics, among them are the Orr-Sommerfeld equations in fluid dynamics [12], the Ginzburg-Landau equation in the presence of electric current (when magnetic field effects are neglected), and the null controllability of Kolomogorov type equations [6]. In [3, 10] it has been established that

$$\liminf_{h\to 0} h^{-2/3} \inf \mathrm{Re}\, \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h) \geq \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} c_m^{2/3}\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mu_1$ is the rightmost zero of Airy's function [1].

We note that (1.4) has been obtained without the need to assume (1.3). In the present contribution we seek an upper bound for $\inf \mathrm{Re}\, \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$. It is to this end that we make that additional assumption. Our main result is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $\mathcal{A}_h$ denote the Dirichlet realization of a Schrödinger operator with a purely imaginary potential $V \in C^3(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, satisfying $\nabla V \neq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, given by* (1.1)*. Suppose that $V$ satisfies* (1.3)*. Then, there exists $\lambda(h) \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ satisfying*

$$\left| \lambda - iV(x_0) - e^{i\pi/3}|\mu_1|(c_m h)^{2/3} - \sqrt{2\alpha} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} h \right| \sim o(h) \quad \text{as } h \to 0\,, \tag{1.5}$$

*where $\alpha = \alpha(x_0)$ .*

An immediate corollary follows

**Corollary 1.2.** *Under the above assumptions we have that*

$$\lim_{h\to 0} h^{-2/3} \inf \mathrm{Re}\, \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h) = \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} c_m^{2/3}\,, \tag{1.6}$$

**Remark 1.3.** *While we do not prove that here, it appears that* (1.6) *can be extended to higher dimensions. Let $D^2_{\|}V$ denote the Hessian matrix of $V$ with respect to a local curvilinear coordinate system defined on $\partial\Omega$ (including, of course, curvature effects). Suppose that $D^2_{\|}V(x)$ is either positive or negative. Then, we set $\alpha$ in the following manner*

$$\alpha(x) = \mathrm{sign}\left(D^2_{\|}V(x)\right) \inf_{\substack{t\cdot\nu(x)=0 \\ |t|=1}} |t \cdot D^2_{\|}V(x) t|\,,$$

*and assume* (1.3) *once again.*

**Remark 1.4.** *Let $\mathcal{A}_h^N$ denote the Neumann realization of $\mathcal{A}_h$. By using the same techniques as in the sequel, one can obtain an upper bound for* $\inf \mathrm{Re}\, \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h^N)$*. In this case, $\mu_1$ will be replaced by the rightmost critical point Airy's function.*

Finally, we note that it has been established in [10] that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist positive $M_\epsilon$ and $h_\epsilon$ such that for all $h \in (0, h_\epsilon)$ we have the following upper bound for the semigroup assciated with $-\mathcal{A}_h$,

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_h}\| \leq M_\epsilon \exp\{-(c_m^{2/3}|\mu_1|/2 - \epsilon)h^{2/3}t\}\,.$$

From (1.5) we can now establish that for some positive $M$, $C$ and $h_0$ the following lower bound for the semigroup holds for all $h \in (0, h_0)$

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_h}\| \geq M \exp\Big\{ - c_m^{2/3} \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} h^{2/3}(1 + Ch^{1/3})t \Big\} .$$

The rest of this contribution is arranged as follows: in the next section we consider a one-dimensional version of (1.1). Assuming that $V \in C^\infty([0,a], \mathbb{R})$ we obtain the complete asymptotic expansion, as $h \to 0$, of any eigenvalue $\lambda_k \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ ($k$ is fixed in the limit). In Section 3 we construct the quasimode associated with the eigenvalue given in (1.5), and in the last section provide a rigorous proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. The one-dimensional case

2.1. **Statement of the results.** Let $a > 0$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\big([0,a]; \mathbb{R}\big)$ such that $V$ has no critical point in $[0,a]$. Consider then the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{A}_h$ defined on $(0,a)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_h = -h^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i\big(V - V(0)\big) ,$$

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}_h) = H_0^1([0,a], \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2([0,a], \mathbb{C}) .$$

The main result we prove in this section is the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that, for all $x \in [0,a]$, $V'(x) \neq 0$. Then, for all $n \geq 1$, there exists a complex sequence $(\alpha_{j,n})_{j\geq 1}$ and an eigenvalue $\lambda_n(h) \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ such that, as $h \to 0$,*

$$h^{-2/3}\lambda_n(h) \underset{h\to 0}{\sim} e^{\sigma i\pi/3}|V'(0)|^{2/3}|\mu_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{j,n} h^{2j/3} + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) , \tag{2.1}$$

*where $\sigma$ is the (constant) sign of the function $V'$.*

Similarly, one could also prove the existence of another sequence $(\nu_n(h))_{n\geq 1}$ of eigenvalues satisfying an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\nu_n(h) \underset{h\to 0}{\sim} i\big(V(a) - V(0) - a\big) + e^{\sigma i\pi/3}|V'(a)|^{2/3}|\mu_n|h^{2/3} + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \beta_{j,n} h^{2(j+1)/3} + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \tag{2.2}$$

by applying the transformation $x \to a - x$. Similar results have previously been obtained in the particular cases $V(x) = x$ and $V(x) = x^2$, see [12] and [6].

**Remark 2.2.** *Theorem 2.1 esablishes existence of two sequences of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_h$, respectively obeying* (2.1) *and* (2.2). *The fact that these sequences constitute the entire spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_h$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq Mh^{2/3}$ for any positive $M$ follows from* [10, Proposition 6.1].

Let $\varepsilon = h^{2/3}$. It is more convenient to obtain the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_h$ by first applying the dilation operator $U : L^2(0,a) \to L^2(0,a/\varepsilon)$ defined by

$$(Uu)(\cdot/\varepsilon) = u(\cdot)\,.$$

Let

$$V_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{V(\varepsilon x)}{\varepsilon}\,.$$

Then by applying the above dilation we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}U^{-1}\mathcal{A}_h U = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i\left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon}\right)\,, \tag{2.3}$$

defined on

$$D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) = (H_0^1 \cap H^2)\big((0,a/\varepsilon),\mathbb{C}\big)\,.$$

2.2. **Quasimode construction.** In the following we construct quasimodes and approximate eigenvalues for $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ in the neighborhood of the boundary point $x = 0$. In particular, we obtain the asymptotic expansion (2.1) for each approximate eigenvalue.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Assume that, for all $x \in [0,a]$, $V'(x) \neq 0$. Let $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma$ denote the sign of $V'$. Then there exists $\psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ and a complex sequence $(\nu_j)_{j\geq 2}$ such that*

$$\big\|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \nu(\varepsilon))\psi_\varepsilon\big\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)\|\psi_\varepsilon\|\,, \tag{2.4}$$

*where*

$$\nu(\varepsilon) = e^{\sigma i\pi/3}|V'(0)|^{2/3}|\mu_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\nu_j\varepsilon^j + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty) \tag{2.5}$$

*as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

*Proof.* We approximate $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ at any order $N$ by the operator

$$A_N(\varepsilon) = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_j\varepsilon^j \quad \text{on} \quad (0,+\infty)\,,$$

where

$$A_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i\beta_0 x\,, \qquad \beta_0 = V'(0)\,,$$
$$V_j = i\beta_j x^{j+1}\,, \quad \beta_j = \tfrac{V^{(j+1)}(0)}{(j+1)!}\,, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Then, for all $N \geq 1$, we look for a quasimode $u^N(x,\varepsilon)$ and an approximate eigenvalue $\lambda^N(\varepsilon)$ in the form

$$u^N(x,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} u_j(x)\varepsilon^j\,,\ \lambda^N(\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{N}\lambda_j\varepsilon^j\,, \tag{2.6}$$

satisfying

$$\Big(A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_j \varepsilon^j\Big) u^N(x,\varepsilon) = \lambda^N(\varepsilon) u^N(x,\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1})\,.$$

To this end, we need to successively solve the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0 - \lambda_0)u_0 &= 0\,, \\ (A_0 - \lambda_0)u_1 &= -(V_1 - \lambda_1)u_0\,, \\ &\vdots \qquad (2.7) \\ (A_0 - \lambda_0)u_k &= -\sum_{j=1}^{k}(V_j - \lambda_j)u_{k-j}\,,\ k = 1,\dots,N\,. \qquad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the first equation. If $\beta_0 > 0$, we can use the scale change $x \mapsto \beta_0^{1/3} x$ and the well-known properties of the complex Airy operator [3] to obtain

$$\sigma(A_0) = \big\{\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n e^{-2i\pi/3} : n \in \mathbb{N}\big\}\,,$$

where $\mu_n$ denotes the $n$-th zero of the Airy function $Ai$. The associated eigenfunctions are

$$x \mapsto Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n)\,.$$

If $\beta_0 < 0$, then the operator $A_0$ is the adjoint of $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i|\beta_0|x$. Hence,

$$\sigma(A_0) = \big\{|\beta_0|^{1/3}\mu_n e^{+2i\pi/3} : n \in \mathbb{N}\big\}\,,$$

and the eigenfunctions are given by

$$x \mapsto \overline{Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n)}\,.$$

Therefore, for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_{0,n} = |\beta_0|^{1/3}\mu_n e^{\sigma 2i\pi/3}\,, \qquad (2.9)$$

and $u_0 = u_{0,n}$ to be a corresponding eigenfunction.

Next, consider the second equation. To ensure the existence of a $u_1$, we first select $\lambda_1$ such that

$$(V_1 - \lambda_1)u_0 \in \mathrm{Im}\,(A_0 - \lambda_0) = \ker(A_0^* - \bar{\lambda}_0)^{\perp}\,.$$

Since $\ker(A_0^* - \bar{\lambda}_0) = \langle \bar{u}_0 \rangle$ we may conclude that

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx = i\beta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^2 u_0(x)^2 dx\,. \qquad (2.10)$$

Furthermore, as $u_0(x) = Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n)$ (respectively $u_0(x) = \overline{Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n)}$) for $\beta_0 > 0$ (respectively $\beta_0 < 0$), Cauchy Theorem and the decay of $Ai$ in the sector $\{|\arg z| \le \pi/3\}$ immediately yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx = e^{-i\pi/6} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} Ai^2(\beta_0^{1/3} x + \mu_n) dx \neq 0\,.$$

Thus, we may select

$$\lambda_1 = i\beta_1 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^2 u_0(x)^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx} = i\beta_1 e^{-i\pi/3} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^2 Ai^2(\beta_0^{1/3} x + \mu_n) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} Ai^2(\beta_0^{1/3} x + \mu_n) dx}\,, \tag{2.11}$$

and there exists $u_1 \in D(A_0)$ such that

$$(A_0 - \lambda_0) u_1 = -V_1 u_0\,.$$

Assuming that the first $k$ equations are solved by $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}$, $u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}$, we have to choose such $\lambda_k$ so that a solution $u_k$ to the $(k+1)$-th equation exists. It easily follows that the solvability condition is

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{k} (V_j - \lambda_j) u_{k-j} \in \ker(A_0^* - \bar{\lambda}_0)^\perp\,,$$

yielding

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \big(i\beta_j x^{j+1} - \lambda_j\big) u_{k-j}(x) u_0(x) dx + i\beta_k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^{k+1} u_0(x)^2 dx \right)\,. \tag{2.12}$$

For this value of $\lambda_k$, there exists $u_k \in \mathcal{D}(A_0)$ satisfying (2.8). Invoking inductive arguments, we assume that each function $u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then, it easily follows that $u_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We can then set $u(x,\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ to be some appropriate Borel sums of the formal series $\sum u_j(x)\varepsilon^j$ and $\sum \lambda_j \varepsilon^j$, respectively.

We now construct from $u(\cdot,\varepsilon)$ a quasimode satisfying the desired boundary conditions. Let $c_0 > 0$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\big((-c_0, c_0); [0,1]\big)$ be such that $\chi(y) = 1$ for all $y \in [-c_0/2, c_0/2]$, and such that $\chi', \chi''$ are bounded. We set

$$\chi_\varepsilon(x) = \chi(\varepsilon^{1-\rho} x)\,.$$

Then, for $p = 1, 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{R}_+ \cap \mathrm{Supp}\ \chi_\varepsilon^{(p)} \subset [c_0 \varepsilon^{\rho-1}/2, c_0 \varepsilon^{\rho-1}]\,, \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \big|\chi_\varepsilon^{(p)}(x)\big| = \mathcal{O}\big(\varepsilon^{p(1-\rho)}\big)\,. \tag{2.14}$$

We next define

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x) \chi_\varepsilon(x) u(x,\varepsilon)\,.$$

Then, we write

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_j(x)\varepsilon^j + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_{N+1}(\varepsilon, x)\,,$$

where $R_{N+1}$ denotes the remainder term in the $(N+1)$-th order Taylor expansion of $V$ near $x=0$ (so that $\varepsilon^{-1}R_{N+1}(\varepsilon x)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1})$ ).
Then, we have

$$\big(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)\big)\psi_\varepsilon = \chi_\varepsilon\big(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)\big)u(\cdot,\varepsilon) + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon]u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\,. \tag{2.15}$$

We seek an estimate for both terms on the right-hand side. Consider the first term, for which we have

$$\big\|\chi_\varepsilon\big(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)\big)u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\big\| \leq \left\|\left(A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_j\varepsilon^j - \lambda(\varepsilon)\right)u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\right\| + \big\|\varepsilon^{-1}R_{N+1}(\varepsilon,\cdot)u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\big\|\,. \tag{2.16}$$

By the construction of $u$ and $\lambda$, the first term on the right-hand side is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1})$. Furthermore, there exists $c_N > 0$ such that

$$\big\|\varepsilon^{-1}R_{N+1}(\varepsilon\cdot)u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\big\| \leq c_N\varepsilon^{N+1}\|x^{N+2}u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1})\,, \tag{2.17}$$

where we made use of the fact that $u(\cdot,\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, there exists $K_N > 0$ such that

$$\big\|\chi_\varepsilon\big(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)\big)u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\big\| \leq K_N\varepsilon^{N+1}\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Consider, next, the commutator term in (2.15). Since $u(\cdot,\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , (2.13) and (2.14) yield

$$\|[\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon]u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\| \leq 2\|\chi_\varepsilon'\partial_x u(\cdot,\varepsilon)\| + \|\chi_\varepsilon''u(\cdot,x)\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)\|\psi_\varepsilon\|\,. \tag{2.19}$$

Finally, by (2.15), (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$\big\|\big(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)\big)\psi_\varepsilon\big\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)\|\psi_\varepsilon\|\,.$$

∎

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Once the quasimodes associated with the approximate eigenvalues (2.1) have been found, it remains necessary to prove that such eigenvalues indeed exist in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_n$ be given by the expansion* (2.1). *Let $\lambda = \lambda_n + re^{i\theta}$ where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then for $\alpha \in (1, 4/3)$, there exist $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $r$ satisfying $\varepsilon^\alpha < r < \delta$, we have*

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{r}\,. \tag{2.20}$$

*Proof.* Let $f \in L^2(0, a/\varepsilon)$ and $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ satisfy

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)u = f\,. \tag{2.21}$$

Let $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon$ satisfy

$$\chi_\varepsilon^2 + \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon^2 = 1$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\nabla\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(x)\right| = \mathcal{O}\big(\varepsilon^{(1-\rho)}\big)\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Taking the inner product in $L^2(0,a/\varepsilon)$ of (2.21) with $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon^2 u$ we obtain from the real part

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u)\|_2^2 = \mathrm{Re}\,\langle\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u,\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f\rangle + \|u\nabla\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \mathrm{Re}\,\lambda\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2\,.$$

Hence,

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u)\|_2 \leq C\big(\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)}\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1-\rho}\|u\|_2\big)\,. \tag{2.23}$$

From the imaginary part of the above inner product we obtain that

$$\langle\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{-1}V(0))u,\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\rangle = \mathrm{Im}\,\langle\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u,\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f\rangle + \mathrm{Im}\,\langle\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u),u\nabla\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon\rangle + \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2\,.$$

Since

$$\min_{x\in(0,a/\varepsilon)}|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{-1}V(0))| \geq C\varepsilon^{\rho-1}\,,$$

We obtain that

$$\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho}\big[\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{2(1-\rho)}\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u)\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2^2\big]\,.$$

With the aid of (2.23) we then obtain

$$\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{(1-\rho)/2}(\|u\|_2+\|f\|_2)\,. \tag{2.24}$$

We next seek an estimate for $\|\chi_\varepsilon u\|_2$. To this end we write

$$(A_0-\lambda)(\chi_\varepsilon u) = \chi_\varepsilon f - i\Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon,\chi_\varepsilon]u\,. \tag{2.25}$$

Denote by $v_n$ the eigenfunction of $A_0$ associated with the eigenvalue $e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n$. For any $g\in L^2(0,a/\varepsilon)$ let

$$\Pi_n g = \langle\bar{v}_n,g\rangle v_n\,.$$

Let further

$$w_n = (I-\Pi_n)(\chi_\varepsilon u)\,.$$

By (2.25) we easily obtain that

$$(A_0-\lambda)w_n = (I-\Pi_n)\Big(\chi_\varepsilon f - i\Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon,\chi_\varepsilon]u\Big)\,.$$

By the Riesz-Schauder theory for compact operators (cf. [2] for instance) we have that

$$(A_0-\lambda)^{-1} = \frac{\Pi_n}{\lambda-\lambda_{0,n}} + T_n(\lambda)\,,$$

where $T_n(\lambda)$ is holomorphic, and hence bounded, in some fixed neighborhood of $\lambda_{0,n}$. Consequently, there exists $C(n,\beta_0)$ such that $\|(A_0-\lambda)^{-1}(I-\Pi_n)\|\leq C$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|w_n\|_2 &\leq C\Big\|\Big(\chi_\varepsilon f - i\Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon,\chi_\varepsilon]u\Big)\Big\|_2 \\ &\leq C\Big(\|f\|_2 + \Big\|\Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u\Big\|_2 + \|[\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon,\chi_\varepsilon]u\|_2\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|w_n\|_2 \le C\big(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho-1} + \varepsilon^{2(1-\rho)}]\|u\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1-\rho}\|\nabla u\|_2\big)\,,$$

and since

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \operatorname{Re}\langle u, f\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\lambda\|u\|_2^2\,, \tag{2.26}$$

we obtain that

$$\|w_n\|_2 \le C\big(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho-1} + \varepsilon^{1-\rho}]\|u\|_2\big)\,. \tag{2.27}$$

To complete the proof, we seek an estimate for $\Pi_n(\chi_\varepsilon u)$. Taking the inner product of (2.25) with $\chi_\varepsilon \bar v_n$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}(e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n - \lambda)\gamma_n = \langle \bar v_n, f\rangle + \langle [A_0,\chi_\varepsilon]\bar v_n, \chi_\varepsilon u\rangle - \langle \tilde\chi_\varepsilon \bar v_n, \tilde\chi_\varepsilon f\rangle + \\ i\Big\langle \bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u\Big\rangle + \langle \chi_\varepsilon \bar v_n, [A_0,\chi_\varepsilon]u\rangle + \\ (e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n - \lambda)\langle \tilde\chi_\varepsilon v_n, \tilde\chi_\varepsilon u\rangle - i\Big\langle (1-\chi_\varepsilon)\bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u\Big\rangle\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$\gamma_n = \langle \bar v_n, \chi_\varepsilon u\rangle\,.$$

By the exponential decay of $v_n$ and (2.26) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\langle [A_0,\chi_\varepsilon]\bar v_n, \chi_\varepsilon u\rangle - \langle \tilde\chi_\varepsilon \bar v_n, \tilde\chi_\varepsilon f\rangle + (e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n - \lambda)\langle \tilde\chi_\varepsilon v_n, \tilde\chi_\varepsilon u\rangle - \\ i\Big\langle (1-\chi_\varepsilon)\bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u\Big\rangle\Big| \le Ce^{-\varepsilon^{-3(1-\rho)/2}}(\|u\|_2 + \|f\|_2)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

We next write

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle \bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u\Big\rangle = \varepsilon\gamma_n\langle \bar v_n, \beta_1 x^2 v_n\rangle \\ + \Big\langle \bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)w_n\Big\rangle + \gamma_n\Big\langle \bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x - \varepsilon\beta_1 x^2\Big)v_n\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

We now observe that

$$\Big\|\bar v_n\Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\Big\|_2 \le C\varepsilon\,,$$

and that

$$\Big|\Big\langle \bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x - \varepsilon\beta_1 x^2\Big)v_n\rangle\Big| \le C\varepsilon^2\,.$$

As $|\gamma_n| \le \|u\|_2$, we obtain with the aid of (2.27) that

$$\Big|\Big\langle \bar v_n, \Big(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x\Big)\chi_\varepsilon u\Big\rangle - \varepsilon\gamma_n\langle \bar v_n, \beta_1 x^2 v_n\rangle\Big| \le C\varepsilon(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho-1} + \varepsilon^{1-\rho}]\|u\|_2)\,.$$

Substituting the above, together with (2.29) into (2.28) yields

$$|(e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n + i\varepsilon\gamma_n\langle \bar v_n, \beta_1 x^2 v_n\rangle - \lambda)\gamma_n| \le C(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho} + \varepsilon^{2-\rho}]\|u\|_2)$$

Consequently, we must have

$$|\gamma_n| \le \frac{C}{r}(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho} + \varepsilon^{2-\rho}]\|u\|_2)\,. \tag{2.30}$$

We now choose $\rho = 2/3$. Since

$$\|u\|_2 \leq C(|\gamma_n| + \|w_n\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2)\,,$$

(2.20) easily follows from (2.24), (2.27), and (2.30). ∎

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let $1 < \alpha < 4/3$. Let further*

$$\Lambda_{n,N}(\varepsilon) = e^{\sigma i\pi/3}|\beta_0|^{2/3}|\mu_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j,n}\varepsilon^j\,. \tag{2.31}$$

*Then, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ there exists $\lambda_n(\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cap B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha) = \{\lambda_n(\varepsilon)\}\,. \tag{2.32}$$

*Furthermore, the eigenspace associated with $\lambda_n(\varepsilon)$ is of dimension 1.*

*Proof.* We follow the same procedure used in [5, 4] to prove existence of eigenvalues. Let $u_{n,N}$ be given by (2.6) and set $\psi_{n,N} = \chi_\varepsilon u_{n,N}$. Let $\varepsilon^\alpha < r < 2\varepsilon^\alpha$ be such that $\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r) \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$. Let further $\lambda \in \partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r)$. Then, by (2.4) we have

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)\psi_{n,N} = (\Lambda_{n,N} - \lambda)\psi_{n,N} + \varepsilon^{N+1} f\,,$$

where $\|f\|_2 \leq C$, for some $C > 0$ which is independent of $\varepsilon$. Applying $(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}$ to both sides of the above equation yields

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}\psi_{n,N} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{n,N} - \lambda}\left[\psi_{n,N} - \varepsilon^{N+1}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1} f\right].$$

Integrating the above identity with respect to $\lambda$ along $\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r)$ yields

$$P_n\psi_{n,N} = \psi_{n,N} - \oint_{\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N},r)} \frac{\varepsilon^{N+1}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1} f}{2\pi i(\Lambda_{n,N} - \lambda)}\, d\lambda\,,$$

where $P_n$ is the spectral projection

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N},r)} (\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}\, d\lambda\,. \tag{2.33}$$

With the aid of (2.20) we then obtain that

$$\|(I - P_n)\psi_{n,N}\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{N+1-\alpha}\,. \tag{2.34}$$

By Cauchy Theorem we now readily obtain that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cap B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha) \neq \emptyset\,.$$

We now prove that $P_n L^2(0, a/\varepsilon)$ is one dimensional. To this end suppose that for some $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha)$ (which can be equal or not) and $w_1, w_2 \in D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ we have

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \nu_j)w_j = 0 \quad j = 1, 2 \tag{2.35}$$

such that $\|w_1\|_2 = \|w_2\|_2 = 1$ and

$$\langle \bar{w}_1, w_2\rangle = 0\,. \tag{2.36}$$

Let further

$$f_j = (A_0 - \Lambda_{n,0})(\chi_\varepsilon w_j) \quad j = 1, 2\,. \tag{2.37}$$

A simple calculation yields

$$f_j = \chi_\varepsilon(\nu_j - \Lambda_{n,0})w_j - i(V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}V(0) - \beta_0 x)\chi_\varepsilon w_j + [A_0, \chi_\varepsilon]w_j \quad j = 1, 2\,. \tag{2.38}$$

We now turn to estimate the various terms on the right-hand-side of (2.38). Let $j \in \{1, 2\}$. For the first term we easily obtain, since $\nu_j \in B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha)$ that

$$\|\chi_\varepsilon(\nu_j - \Lambda_{n,0})w_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon\,. \tag{2.39}$$

For the second term we have that

$$\|(V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}V(0) - \beta_0 x)\chi_\varepsilon w_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}\,. \tag{2.40}$$

To estimate the last term we take the inner product of (2.35) with $w_j$ to obtain from the real part that

$$\|\nabla w_j\|_2 \leq C\,.$$

Consequently, we have that

$$\|[A_0, \chi_\varepsilon]w_j\|_2 \leq \|\Delta\chi_\varepsilon w_j\|_2 + 2\|\nabla\chi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho}\,.$$

Substituting the above, together with (2.39) and (2.40) into (2.38) then yields

$$\|f_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}\,. \tag{2.41}$$

We now write

$$\chi_\varepsilon w_j = (\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\parallel + (\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\perp\,,$$

where

$$(\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\parallel = \langle \bar{u}_0, \chi_\varepsilon w_j\rangle u_0\,.$$

Applying Riesz-Schauder theory to $A_0$ yields, by (2.37) and (2.38),

$$\|(\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\perp\| \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}\,.$$

Consequently,

$$|\langle \chi_\varepsilon \bar{w}_1, \chi_\varepsilon w_2\rangle| \geq 1 - C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}\,.$$

Hence, by (2.36) we have that

$$|\langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon \bar{w}_1, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon w_2\rangle| \geq 1 - C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}\,. \tag{2.42}$$

To complete the proof we take again the inner product of (2.35) with $w_j$ to obtain, this time from the imaginary part, that

$$\|(V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}V(0))w_j\|_2 \leq C\,.$$

Hence,

$$\|w_j\|_{L^2(\varepsilon^{\rho-1}, a/\varepsilon)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho}\,,$$

from which we easily conclude that

$$|\langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon \bar{w}_1, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon w_2\rangle| \leq \|w_1\|_{L^2(\varepsilon^{\rho-1}, a/\varepsilon)}\|w_2\|_{L^2(\varepsilon^{\rho-1}, a/\varepsilon)} \leq C\varepsilon^{2(1-\rho)}\,,$$

contradicting (2.42) and therefore (2.36). ∎

*Proof of Theorem 2.1* . Recall that by (2.4) we have

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \Lambda_{n,N})\psi_{n,N} = \varepsilon^{N+1} f\,,$$

where $\|f\|_2$ is uniformly bounded as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We now apply the spectral projection $P_n$, defined in (2.33) to both side of the above equations. It can be easily verified that $[P_n, \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon] = 0$. Consequently

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \Lambda_{n,N})P_n\psi_{n,N} = \varepsilon^{N+1} P_n f\,. \tag{2.43}$$

By (2.32) we have that

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \Lambda_{n,N})P_n\psi_{n,N} = (\lambda_n - \Lambda_{n,N})P_n\psi_{n,N}\,. \tag{2.44}$$

By (2.34) we have that

$$\|P_n\psi_{n,N}\|_2 \geq 1 - C\varepsilon^{N+1}\,.$$

Substituting the above, together with (2.44) into (2.43) then yields

$$|\lambda_n - \Lambda_{n,N}| \leq C\varepsilon^{N+1}$$

Theorem 2.1 now easily follows from (2.3) ∎

## 3. Two dimensions: Quasimode construction

Let $\Omega \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a $C^3$ domain and $V \in C^3(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $\partial\Omega_\perp$ denote the portion of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ where $\nabla V$ is orthogonal to $\partial\Omega$. (Note that $\partial\Omega_\perp$ may be finite, but is never empty by the continuity of $V$ on $\partial\Omega$.) Let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_\perp$ such that

$$|\nabla V(x_0)| = \min_{x\in\partial\Omega_\perp} |\nabla V(x)|\,,$$

and let $V_0 = V(x_0)$. We look for an approximation of the principal eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenfunction of the operator

$$\mathcal{A}_h = -h^2\Delta + i(V - V_0)\,, \tag{3.1}$$

defined over

$$D(\mathcal{A}_h) = H^1_0(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})\,.$$

Define in a vicinity of $\partial\Omega$ a curvilinear coordinate system $(t, s)$ such that $t = d(x, \partial\Omega)$ and $s(x)$ denotes the distance (or arclength) along $\partial\Omega$ connecting $x_0$ and the projection of $x$ on $\partial\Omega$. We have

$$\Delta = \Big(\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big)^2 + \frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(g\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$g = 1 - t\kappa(s)\,, \tag{3.3}$$

and $\kappa(s)$ is the curvature at $s$ on $\partial\Omega$. Expanding $\Delta$ near $x_0$ ($t^2+s^2 \ll 1$) yields for some $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_h)$

$$\Delta u = u_{tt} + u_{ss} + \Upsilon u\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\Upsilon u = \Big(\frac{1}{g^2} - 1\Big)u_{ss} + \frac{t\kappa'}{g^3}u_s - \frac{\kappa}{g}u_t\,. \tag{3.5}$$

We next expand $V$ near $x_0$

$$V(s,t) - V_0 = ct + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha s^2 + \beta t^2 + 2\sigma st) + \mathcal{O}((s^2+t^2)^{3/2})\,, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$c = V_t(x_0) \quad ; \quad \alpha = V_{ss}(x_0) \quad ; \quad \beta = V_{tt}(x_0) \quad ; \quad \sigma = V_{st}(x_0)\,.$$

We note that $V_s(x_0) = 0$ since $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_\perp$. We confine the discussion, in view of (1.3) to the case where $\alpha c > 0$. Without any loss of generality we may assume $c > 0$ (and hence $\alpha > 0$ as well), otherwise we can consider the spectrum of the complex conjugate of $\mathcal{A}_h$.

We search for an approximate eigenpair $(u,\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{A}_h$. Previous works [3, 10] suggest that one should look for such $u$ which is localized near $x_0$. Applying the transformation

$$\tau = \Big(\frac{c}{h^2}\Big)^{1/3} t \quad ; \quad \xi = \Big(\frac{\alpha}{h^2}\Big)^{1/4} s \tag{3.7}$$

to (3.6) and (3.4) leads to the following approximation for every $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_h)$

$$\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\mathcal{A}_h u = -u_{\tau\tau} + i\tau u + \varepsilon^{1/2}\Big(-u_{\xi\xi} + \frac{i}{2}\xi^2 u\Big) + \Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\Big)^{3/4} i\sigma\xi\tau u + Ru\,, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \alpha(h^2/c^4)^{1/3}\,, \tag{3.9}$$

$\|u\|_2 = 1$, and the operator $R$ satisfies, for all $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_h)$

$$\begin{aligned} Ru = {} & c^{2/3}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\frac{1}{g^2}-1\Big)u_{\xi\xi} + c^{2/3}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\Big)^{9/4}\frac{\tau c^{1/3}\kappa'}{g^3}u_\xi - \Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\Big)\frac{c^{1/3}\kappa}{g}u_\tau + \\ & i\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\Big(V(\xi,\tau) - V_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}c^2\tau - \frac{c^2\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\alpha}\frac{1}{2}\xi^2 - \Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\Big)^{7/4}c^2\sigma\xi\tau\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

It can be easily verified that for any $0 < \gamma < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ru\|_{L^2(B_+(0,\varepsilon^{-\gamma}))} \le {} & C\varepsilon\Big[\|\varepsilon^{1/2}|\tau u_{\xi\xi}| + \varepsilon^{5/4}|\tau u_\xi| + |u_\tau|\|_{L^2(B_+(0,\varepsilon^{-\gamma}))} + \\ & C\varepsilon\Big[\|\tau^2 u\|_{L^2(B_+(0,\varepsilon^{-\gamma}))} + \varepsilon^{1/4}\|\xi^3 u\|_{L^2(B_+(0,\varepsilon^{-\gamma}))}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We seek an approximate solution for $\mathcal{A}_h u = \lambda u$. To this end, we introduce the expansion

$$u \cong u_0 + \varepsilon^{1/4}u_1 + \varepsilon^{1/2}u_2 + \varepsilon^{3/4}u_3 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad ; \quad \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\lambda = \lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/4}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2 + \varepsilon^{3/4}\lambda_3 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\,.$$

Substituting into (3.8) leads to the following $\mathcal{O}(1)$ balance

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau u_0 \overset{def}{=} -\frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial \tau^2} + i\tau u_0 = \lambda_0 u_0 \quad ; \quad u_0(0,\xi) = 0\,, \tag{3.12a}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ is defined over

$$D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) = \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}) \cap H^1_0(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}) \,|\, \tau u \in L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})\}\,. \tag{3.12b}$$

The solution to (3.12) associated with the energy $\lambda_0$ having the smallest real part is given by

$$u_0(\tau,\xi) = v_0(\tau) w_0(\xi) \quad \text{where} \quad v_0(\tau) = A_i(e^{i\pi/6}\tau + \mu_1)\,, \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\lambda_0 = e^{-i2\pi/3}\mu_1\,, \tag{3.14}$$

where $A_i$ is Airy's function and $\mu_1 < 0$ is its rightmost zero. The function $w_0(\xi)$ will be determined from the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ balance.

The next order, or $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/4})$, balance in (3.8) assumes the form

$$(\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0) u_1 = \lambda_1 u_0 \quad ; \quad u_1(0,\xi) = 0\,, \tag{3.15}$$

Taking the inner product of (3.15) with $\bar{v}_0$ yields $\lambda_1 = 0$. Hence, $u_1 = v_0(\tau) w_1(\xi)$.

The next order, or $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$, balance in (3.8) assumes the form

$$(\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0) u_2 = -\Big(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2\Big) u_0 \quad ; \quad u_2(0,\xi) = 0\,, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{i}{2}\xi^2\,, \tag{3.17}$$

is defined over

$$D(\mathcal{L}_\xi) = \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}) \,|\, \xi^2 u \in L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})\}$$

For fixed $\xi$ we now take the inner product of the above equation with $\bar{v}_0$, in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. After noticing that by Cauchy's Theorem

$$\int_0^\infty v_0^2(\tau)\, d\tau = e^{-i\pi/6} \int_0^\infty A_i^2(x+\mu_1)\, dx \neq 0\,, \tag{3.18}$$

we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2) w_0 = 0\,.$$

The solution of the above problem corresponding to the $\lambda_2$ with smallest real part is given by

$$w_0(\xi) = C_0 \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \xi^2 \Big\} \quad ; \quad \lambda_2 = \sqrt{2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\,. \tag{3.19}$$

The constant $C_0$ should be obtain, up to a product by $-1$, from the normalization condition $\|u\|_2 = 1$. We allow dependence of $C_0$ on $\varepsilon$ (see below). Substituting into (3.16) yields

$$u_2(\tau,\xi) = v_0(\tau) w_2(\xi)\,.$$

For the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/4})$ balance in (3.8) we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0)u_3 = -v_0(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)w_1 - \Big(i\sigma\xi\tau - \lambda_3\Big)v_0w_0 \quad ; \quad u_2(0,\xi) = 0\,.$$

We take once again the inner product of the above balance with $\bar{v}_0$ to obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)w_1 + \Big(i\gamma\xi - \lambda_3\Big)w_0 = 0\,, \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\gamma = \sigma\frac{\int_0^\infty \tau v_0^2(\tau)\,d\tau}{\int_0^\infty v_0^2(\tau)\,d\tau}\,.$$

Note that this expression is well-defined due to (3.18). Taking the inner product, this time in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$, of (3.20) with $w_0$, which is even, yields

$$\lambda_3 = 0\,.$$

Furthermore, $w_1$ is the unique solution of

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)w_1 = -i\gamma\xi w_0 \quad ; \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_1(\xi)w_0(\xi)\,d\xi = 0\,,$$

and

$$u_3 = v_3(\xi,\tau) + v_0(\tau)w_3(\xi)\,,$$

where $v_3$ is the unique solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0)v_3 = -i\xi(\tau-\gamma)v_0w_0 \quad \tau > 0 \\ v_3(0,\xi) = 0 \\ \int_0^\infty v_2(\tau,\xi)v_0(\tau)d\tau = 0\,. \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

Notice that, if $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ denotes the Schwartz space of rapidly decaying functions along with all their derivatives, then the right-hand side in (3.21) belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. As the operator $-\partial^2/\partial\tau^2 + i\tau - \lambda_0$ is globally elliptic with respect to $\tau$, in the sense of [8, Definition 1.5.6], we have that

$$v_3 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\,, \tag{3.22}$$

(see [8, Theorem 1.6.4]). For the same reason, the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ balance would yield $w_3 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

We have thus obtained the quasimode

$$\begin{aligned} U = \Big(C_0(\varepsilon)\exp\Big\{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\xi^2\Big\} + \varepsilon^{1/2}w_1(\xi)\Big)A_i(e^{i\pi/6}\tau + \mu_1) \\ + \varepsilon^{3/4}v_3(\xi,\tau) + \varepsilon^{3/4}w_3(\xi)A_i(e^{i\pi/6}\tau + \mu_1)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We obtain the various constants by requiring that

$$\|U\|_2 = 1\,.$$

We now conclude this section by the following proposition

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $\mathcal{A}_h$ be given by* (3.1) *and $U$ by* (3.23). *Let further*

$$\Lambda = \lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2 \,. \tag{3.24}$$

*Let $\eta_r = \eta_r^0(\tau)\eta_r^1(\xi)$, where $\eta_r^0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,[0,1])$ and $\eta_r^1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$ are chosen so that*

$$\eta_r = \begin{cases} 1 & |x-x_0|<r \\ 0 & |x-x_0|>2r\,, \end{cases} \quad |\nabla\eta_r| \le \frac{C}{r}\,. \tag{3.25}$$

*Then,*

$$\left\| \Big( \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\mathcal{A}_h - \Lambda \Big)(\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U) \right\|_2 \le C\varepsilon \|\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U\|_2 \,. \tag{3.26}$$

*Proof.* We first write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\mathcal{A}_h(\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U) &= \big(\mathcal{L}_\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi + \varepsilon^{3/4} i\sigma\xi\tau\big)(\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U) + R\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U \\ &= \Lambda\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U + \Big[\mathcal{L}_\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}\Big]U + R\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where the operator $R$ is defined by (3.10). We next seek an estimate for the commutator term in (3.27), given by

$$[\mathcal{L}_\tau, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}]U = -\partial_\tau^2(\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}})U - 2\partial_\tau\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}\partial_\tau U \,. \tag{3.28}$$

In order to estimate the norm of $U$ and $\partial_\tau U$ on the support of $\partial_\tau^2\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}$ and $\partial_\tau\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}$, we recall the well-known asymptotic behavior of the Airy function [1]:

$$Ai(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}\big(1+\mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2})\big) \tag{3.29}$$

as $|z|\to+\infty$ in any sector of the form $|\arg z| \le \pi-\delta$, $\delta>0$. By (3.23), and since for all $(\tau,\xi)\in \mathrm{Supp}\,\partial_\tau\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}$ we have $\varepsilon^{-1/2}\le\tau\le 2\varepsilon^{-1/2}$, (3.22) and (3.29) yield

$$\|(\partial_\tau^2\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}})U\|_2 \le C_1\varepsilon \,,$$

for some positive constant $C_1$.

Since the asymptotic behaviour of $Ai'$, as $|z|\to\infty$ is not substantially different from (3.29) (cf. [1]), we easily obtain that

$$\|\partial_\tau\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}\partial_\tau U\|_2 \le C_2\varepsilon\,,\ C_2>0\,.$$

Thus (3.28) yields, for some $C>0$,

$$\|[\mathcal{L}_\tau, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}]U\|_2 \le C\varepsilon \,. \tag{3.30}$$

Due to the decay of the $U$ and $\partial_\xi U$ as $|\xi|\to+\infty$ (recall that $w_3\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$), we similarly obtain

$$\|[\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}]U\|_2 \le K\varepsilon \,, \tag{3.31}$$

for some $K>0$ .can be estimated as follows. Using

To estimate the remaining term $R\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U$ we use (3.11) to obtain, for $\alpha\in(1/2,1)$,

$$\|R\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}U\|_2 \le \|RU\|_{L^2(B_+(0,\varepsilon^{-\alpha}))} \le C'\varepsilon \tag{3.32}$$

for some $C' > 0$. Finally (3.27), (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) yield, for some positive $\tilde{C}$ and $C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Big( \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \mathcal{A}_h - \Lambda \Big) (\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U) \right\|_2 &\leq C' \varepsilon \\ &\leq C \varepsilon \|\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U\|_2 \,, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the that for some $C'' > 0$, $\|\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U\|_2 \geq 1/C''$. ∎

## 4. Eigenvalue existence

Let $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ be respectively defined by (3.12) and (3.17). Then let

$$\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}_\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi \tag{4.1}$$

be the closed operator associated with the quadratic form

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + i \langle u, (\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2} \xi^2) v \rangle$$

whose domain is given by $\tilde{V} \times \tilde{V}$ where

$$\tilde{V} = \{ u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+, \mathbb{C}) \,|\, |(\tau^{1/2} + |\xi|) u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+, \mathbb{C}) \} \,.$$

It can be easily verified that

$$D(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) = \{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+, \mathbb{C}) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \,|\, (\tau + \xi^2) u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+), \} \,.$$

We begin by the following straightforward observation

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have*

$$\sigma(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) = \{ c^{2/3} \mu_n e^{-i2\pi/3} + (2k-1) \varepsilon^{1/2} \sqrt{2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \}_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \,. \tag{4.2}$$

*Proof.* After the scale changes $\tau \mapsto c^{1/3} \tau$ and $\xi \mapsto \big( |\alpha|/2 \big)^{1/4} \xi$, we obtain from [3] and [7, Section 14.5] the following expressions for the eigenvalues of the complex Airy operator $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ and the complex harmonic oscillator $\mathcal{L}_\xi$:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}_\tau) = \Big\{ c^{2/3} \mu_n e^{-i2\pi/3} : n \geq 1 \Big\} \,,$$

$\mu_n$ being the $n$-th (negative) zero of the Airy function $Ai$, and

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}_\xi) = \Big\{ (2k-1) \sqrt{2}\, e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} : k \geq 1 \Big\} \,.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_\tau \dotplus \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi$ the closure of the operator $\mathcal{L}_\tau \otimes I + I \otimes (\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi)$ whose domain is $D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)$. We first need to verify that the domains of $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{L}_\tau \dotplus \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi$ coincide. Let $e^{-t\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon}$ denote the contraction semigroup generated by $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$, and let $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{L}_\tau)$, $\psi \in D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)$. Clearly,

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon} (\varphi \otimes \psi) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_\tau} \varphi \otimes e^{-t(\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi)} \psi \,,$$

where $e^{-t\mathcal{L}_\tau}$ and $e^{-t(\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi)}$ denote respectively the contraction semigroups generated by $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ and $\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi$.Thus,

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon} \big( D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi) \big) \subset D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi) \,.$$

Consequently, due to [11, Theorem X.49] we have $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \overline{(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon)_{|D(\mathcal{L}_\tau)\otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)}}$, and $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ clearly coincides with $\mathcal{L}_\tau \otimes I + I \otimes (\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi)$ on $D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)$, and hence $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}_\tau \dotplus \varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi$.

Noticing that $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ are both sectorial with respect to the same sector $\mathcal{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \arg z \leq \pi/2\}$, we can then apply the so-called Ichinose Lemma (see [11, Theorem XIII.35, Corollary 2]) which yields

$$\sigma\big(\mathcal{L}_\tau \dotplus \varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\big) = \sigma(\mathcal{L}_\tau) + \sigma(\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathcal{L}_\xi)\,,$$

and (4.2) follows. ∎

The following auxiliary lemma will be necessary in the sequel

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let $v_n$ denote the (unique up to multiplication by a complex number of modulus 1) unity norm eigenfunction associated with the eigenvalue*

$$\nu_{n-1} = \mu_n e^{-i2\pi/3} \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4.3}$$

*of $\mathcal{L}_\tau$. Let further $\mathcal{V}$ denote the form domain of $\mathcal{L}_\tau$, i.e,*

$$\mathcal{V} = \{u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}) \,|\, \tau^{1/2}u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})\}\,,$$

*and $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathrm{span}\{v_n\}_{n=k+1}^\infty \cap \mathcal{V}$. Set*

$$\beta_k = \inf_{\substack{u\in\mathcal{V}_n \\ \|u\|=1}} \|u_\tau\|_2^2 + \|\tau^{1/2}u\|_2^2\,. \tag{4.4a}$$

*Then,*

$$\beta_k \to \infty\,. \tag{4.4b}$$

*Proof.* Let us assume by contradiction that there exists a subsequence $(k_n)$ and a positive constant $C$ such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_{k_n} \leq C\,.$$

Then there exists a sequence $(u_n)$ of functions in $H^1_0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$, $\tau^{1/2}u_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_n \in \mathrm{span}\{v_j\}_{j=k_n+1}^\infty$, $\|u_n\|_2 = 1$ and

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \big(\|\partial_\tau u_n\|_2^2 + \|\tau^{1/2}u_n\|_2^2\big) \leq 2C\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Since for any $r > 0$ we have

$$\int_r^\infty |u_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{r}\int_r^\infty \tau|u_n|^2 \leq \frac{2C}{r}\,,$$

we can choose such $r$ for which

$$\int_0^r |u_n|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\,.$$

Since by (4.5) the $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C})$ norms of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are bounded, we can extract a subsequence $(u_{\varphi(n)})$ such that $u_{\varphi(n)} \to u_\infty$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C})$ weakly, and in $L^2([0,r],\mathbb{C})$ strongly, for some limit function $u_\infty \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C})$. We note that

$$\int_0^r |u_\infty|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Now let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then for all $n$ such that $k_{\varphi(n)} \geq k$ we have

$$u_{\varphi(n)} \in \operatorname{span}\{v_j\}_{j\geq k+1} = \left(\operatorname{span}\{\bar{v}_n\}_{n=1}^{k}\right)_\perp\,,$$

hence, by the weak convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C})$.

$$0 = \langle u_{\varphi(n)}, \bar{v}_k\rangle \longrightarrow \langle u_\infty, \bar{v}_k\rangle = 0\,.$$

Consequently $u_\infty \in \left(\operatorname{span}\{\bar{v}_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}\right)_\perp$, thus $u_\infty = 0$ since the eigenfunctions $\{\bar{v}_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ of $\mathcal{L}_\tau^*$ form a complete family of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C})$ (see [3]). A contradiction, in view of (4.6). ∎

We next claim the following

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exist $r_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$, such that if $r \in (0, r_0)$, then*

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0 - \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2| = r\varepsilon^{1/2} \Rightarrow \|(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{r}\varepsilon^{-1/2} \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0\,. \tag{4.7}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that $r$ is so chosen such that $\partial B(\lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2, r\varepsilon^{1/2}) \in \rho(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon)$. Let $g \in \operatorname{span}\{v_n w_m\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ and $w$ denote the solution of

$$(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)w = g\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Let further

$$\lambda - \lambda_0 - \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2 = \varepsilon^{1/2} r e^{i\alpha}\,,$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$. By the Riesz-Schauder Theory (cf. [2, Eq. (16.4)] for instance) we have that

$$(\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda)^{-1} = \frac{\Pi_0}{\lambda - \nu_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\Pi_k}{\lambda - \nu_k} + T_k(\lambda)\,, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\{\nu_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are given by (4.3), and $\|T_K\| \leq C_K$ in $B(\nu_0, \tilde{r})$ for some fixed $\tilde{r} > 0$. In the above $\Pi_k$ is the projection operator on $\operatorname{span}\{v_k\}$, which is explicitly given, for any $u \in \operatorname{span}\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, by

$$\Pi_k(u) = \langle \bar{v_k}, u\rangle_\tau v_k(\tau)\,,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\tau$ denotes the standard $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C})$ inner product.

Let $u_k = \Pi_k(w)$. It can be easily verified that

$$u_k = \varepsilon^{-1/2}(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2 - re^{i\alpha} + \varepsilon^{-1/2}(\nu_k - \nu_0))^{-1}\Pi_k(g)\,.$$

It easily follows from here that

$$\|u_0\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|\Pi_0(g)\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|g\|_2\,, \tag{4.10}$$

whereas

$$\|u_k\|_2 \leq C_k \|g\|_2 \,, \tag{4.11}$$

where $C_k$ is independent of $r$ and $\varepsilon$. For every $K \geq 1$ we have

$$\|w\|_2 \leq \Big( \frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} C_k \Big) \|g\|_2 + \|P_K(w)\|_2 \,, \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$P_K = I - \sum_{k=0}^{K} \Pi_k \,. \tag{4.13}$$

To complete the proof we need an estimate for $\|P_K(w)\|_2$. Let then $u_K = P_K(w)$. Clearly,

$$(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda) u_K = P_K(g) \,.$$

Taking the inner product of the above equation by $u_K$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\| \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial \tau} \Big\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{1/2} \Big\| \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial \xi} \Big\|_2^2 - \operatorname{Re}\lambda \|u_K\|_2^2 = \operatorname{Re} \langle u_K, P_K(g) \rangle \\ &\|\tau^{1/2} u_K\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\xi u_K\|_2^2 - \operatorname{Im}\lambda \|u_K\|_2^2 = \operatorname{Im} \langle u_K, P_K(g) \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equations yields

$$\Big\| \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial \tau} \Big\|_2^2 + \|\tau^{1/2} u_K\|_2^2 - (\operatorname{Im}\lambda + \operatorname{Re}\lambda) \|u_K\|_2^2 \leq 2 \|u_K\|_2 \|P_K(g)\|_2 \,. \tag{4.14}$$

As

$$\|P_K(g)\|_2 \leq C_K \|g\|_2 \,, \tag{4.15}$$

we obtain by (4.4) and (4.14) that for sufficiently large $K$ (but independent of $\varepsilon$)

$$\|u_K\|_2 \leq C_K \|g\|_2 \,.$$

The lemma is now proved by the above and (4.12) for any $g \in \operatorname{span}\{v_n w_m\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$, and hence for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+, \mathbb{C})$ via a density argument. ∎

Note that $r$ may depend on $\varepsilon$. As a matter of fact (4.7) remains valid independently of the pace at which $r \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Under the conditions of 4.3 we have that*

$$\|(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1} P_1\| \leq C \,, \tag{4.16}$$

*where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$.*

The corollary follows immediately from (4.11) and (4.15).

Recall now the definition of $\mathcal{S}$ from the introduction

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ x \in \partial\Omega_\perp \,:\, |\nabla V(x)| = |\nabla V(x_0)| \,,\; V(x) = V(x_0) \} \,.$$

By (1.3), $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of isolated points $\{x_j\}_{j\in J_{\mathcal{S}}}$. Recall the definition of the curvilinear coordinate system $(s,t)$ from the previous section, and then let $x_j = (s_j, 0)$. Let further $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ be supported on $\Omega \cap \bigcup_{j\in J_{\mathcal{S}}} B(x_j, \delta)$ and satisfy

$$|f| \leq C\|f\|_2 \varepsilon^{7/8} e^{-\gamma_1 \varepsilon^{-3/2}[(s-s_j)^2 + t^{3/2}]} \quad \text{in } B(x_j,\delta)\cap\Omega \quad \forall j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}\,, \tag{4.17}$$

for some fixed and positive $\gamma_1$ and $C$.

We seek an estimate for the resolvent of $\mathcal{A}_h$. To this end a few auxiliary estimates, beyond (4.7), are necessary. Set then

$$\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega \,|\, V(x) > V(x_0)\} \quad ; \quad \Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega \,|\, V(x) < V(x_0)\}\,,$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{x \in \Omega \,|\, V(x) = V(x_0)\}\,.$$

Define then the cutoff function $\chi^+_{\varepsilon,n} \in C^\infty(\Omega, [0,1])$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in the following manner

$$\chi^+_{\varepsilon,n}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \Omega_- \\ 1 & x \in \Omega_+ \cap \{V(x) - V(x_0) \leq 2^{n-1}\varepsilon^\rho\} \\ 0 & x \in \Omega_+ \cap \{V(x) - V(x_0) \geq 2^n \varepsilon^\rho\}\,, \end{cases} \quad \|\nabla \chi^+_{\varepsilon,n}\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_n}{\varepsilon^\rho} \tag{4.18}$$

where $0 < \rho < 1$. We further set

$$(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n})^2 + (\chi^+_{\varepsilon,n})^2 = 1\,. \tag{4.19}$$

In a similar manner we then define $\chi^-_{\Gamma,\varepsilon,n}$:

$$\chi^-_{\varepsilon,n}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \Omega_+ \\ 1 & x \in \Omega_- \cap \{V(x_0) - V(x) \leq 2^{n-1}\varepsilon^\rho\} \\ 0 & x \in \Omega_- \cap \{V(x_0) - V(x) \geq 2^n \varepsilon^\rho\}\,. \end{cases}$$

The complementary cutoff function $\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n}$ is then given by

$$(\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n})^2 = 1 - (\chi^-_{\varepsilon,n})^2$$

We begin with the following estimate

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let $f$ satisfy* (4.17) *and*

$$(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)w = f\,, \tag{4.20}$$

*where*

$$|\lambda^*| \leq C\varepsilon$$

*Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_n > 0$ and $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ we have*

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n} w\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n} w\|_2 \leq C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-1}\|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2)\,. \tag{4.21a}$$

*Furthermore, we have that*

(4.21b)

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2+\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2+\varepsilon^2(\|D^2(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2+\|D^2(\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2)\leq C_n\varepsilon^{n\rho-1}(\|w\|_2+\|f\|_2)\,.$$

*Proof.* In the following the constants $C$ and $\gamma_2$ depend on $n$. Taking the inner product of (4.20) with $(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n})^2w$ yields

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2^2-\|w\nabla\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\|_2^2=\dfrac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3c^4}\big(\operatorname{Re}\lambda^*\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2+\operatorname{Re}\langle\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w,\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\rangle\big) & \text{(4.22a)}\\ \dfrac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3c^4}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}|V-V(x_0)|^{1/2}w\|_2^2+\operatorname{Im}\langle w\nabla\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n},\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\rangle & \\ \qquad\qquad=\dfrac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3c^4}\big(\operatorname{Im}\lambda^*\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2+\operatorname{Im}\langle\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w,\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\rangle\big)\,. & \text{(4.22b)}\end{cases}$$

From the definition of $\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}$ and (4.22b) we get

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2\leq C\varepsilon^{3-\rho}\Big(\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2^2+\|w\nabla\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\|_2^2+\varepsilon^{-4}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\|_2^2+\varepsilon^{-2}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2\Big)\,. \tag{4.23}$$

By (4.22a) we have

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2^2\leq C\Big(\|w\nabla\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\|_2^2+\varepsilon^{-4}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\|_2^2+\varepsilon^{-2}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2\Big)\,. \tag{4.24}$$

Substituting the above into (4.23) then yields

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2\leq C\varepsilon^{3-\rho}\Big(\|w\nabla\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\|_2^2+\varepsilon^{-4}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\|_2^2+\varepsilon^{-2}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2\Big)\,,$$

from which we easily obtain, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$,

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2^2\leq C\varepsilon^{3-\rho}\Big(\|w\nabla\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\|_2^2+\varepsilon^{-4}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\|_2^2\Big)\,. \tag{4.25}$$

By (4.17) we have that for sufficiently small $\gamma_2$ and $\varepsilon$,

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\|_2\leq Ce^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2\,. \tag{4.26}$$

Furthermore, by (4.18) and (4.19) we have that

$$\|w\nabla\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\|_2\leq\frac{C}{\varepsilon^\rho}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n-1}w\|_2\,.$$

Combining the above, (4.26), and (4.25) then yields

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2\leq C\big(\varepsilon^\rho\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n-1}w\|_2+e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2\big)\,.$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2\leq C\big(\varepsilon^\rho\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n-1}w\|_2+e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2\big)\,.$$

The above pair of inequalities, when recursively applied, readily yield (4.21a).

We begin the proof of (4.21b) by combining (4.24) and (4.21a) to obtain

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2\leq C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-1}\|w\|_2+e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2)\,. \tag{4.27}$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\Delta w\|_2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3}\|(V-V(x_0))\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}f\|_2 \le C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-3}\|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2)\,.$$

As,

$$\|\Delta(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^\rho}\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n-1}w)\|_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2\rho}}\|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n-1}w\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}\Delta w\|_2\,,$$

we readily conclude that

$$\|\Delta(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w)\|_2 \le C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-3}\|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2)\,.$$

Standard elliptic estimates, together with (4.27) then yield (4.21b), after repeating the same argument for $\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n}w$. ∎

Before we attempt to estimate $(\mathcal{A}_h-\lambda^*)^{-1}f$ we need yet the following auxiliary estimate.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Under the same conditions of Lemma 4.5 we have that*

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla w\|_2 \le \dfrac{C}{\varepsilon}\|w\|_2 + \dfrac{C}{\varepsilon^2}\|f\|_2\,, & \text{(4.28a)}\\[2ex] \|D^2 w\|_2 \le \dfrac{C}{\varepsilon^{3-\rho}}\|w\|_2 + \dfrac{C}{\varepsilon^3}\|f\|_2\,, & \text{(4.28b)} \end{cases}$$

*where* $w=(\mathcal{A}_h-\lambda^*)^{-1}f$ *and* $0<\rho<1$.

*Proof.* As

$$\|\nabla w\|_2^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3 c^4}(\lambda^*\|w\|_2^2 + \operatorname{Re}\langle w, f\rangle)\,,$$

we readily obtain (4.28a). To prove (4.28b) we first note that

$$\|\Delta w\|_2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3}(\|(V-V(x_0))w\|_2 + \lambda^*\|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2) \tag{4.29}$$

Let

$$\zeta^2 = 1-(\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n})^2 - (\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n})^2\,.$$

By (4.21) we have, for sufficiently large $n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(V-V(x_0))w\|_2 &\le C(\|\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n}w\|_2) + \|\zeta(V-V(x_0))w\|_2\\ &\le C(\varepsilon^{n\rho-1}\|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^\rho\|w\|_2) \le C(\varepsilon^\rho\|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2)\,,\end{aligned}$$

which, when substituted into (4.29), yields (4.28) with the aid of standard elliptic estimates. ∎

Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 can now be used to estimate $(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f$ in the close vicinity of $x_0$ where $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, (c^2 r \varepsilon^{3/2}/\alpha))$, $r \in (0,1)$ being chosen so that $\partial B(\Lambda_0, (c^2 r \varepsilon^{3/2}/\alpha)) \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}_h)$, where

$$\Lambda_0 = \frac{\varepsilon c^2}{\alpha}(\lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2)\,. \tag{4.30}$$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ satisfy (4.17), and $7/8 < \rho < 1$. Let $w = (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f^*$ and $\zeta_0$ be given by*

$$\zeta_0^*(\varepsilon, \rho) = [1 - (\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n})^2 - (\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n})^2]\mathbf{1}_{B(x_0,\delta)\cap\Omega}\,, \tag{4.31}$$

*where $\delta > 0$ is so chosen so that $B(x_0, \delta) \cap \Gamma = \{x_0\}$. Then,*

$$\|\zeta_0^* w^*\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r}(\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/8}\|w^*\|_2)\,. \tag{4.32}$$

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)(\zeta_0^* w^*) = \zeta_0^* f^* + [\mathcal{A}_h, \zeta_0^*]w^*$$

We next write

$$\mathcal{A}_h = \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{D}^*\,,$$

where $A_0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3}(\partial_{tt} + \partial_{ss}) + i(ct + \alpha s^2)\,,$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^* = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3}\Upsilon + i(V - V(x_0) - ct - \frac{1}{2}\alpha s^2)\,,$$

where $\Upsilon$ is given by (3.5). Then,

$$(\mathcal{A}_0 - \lambda^*)(\zeta_0^* w^*) = \zeta_0 f^* - \mathcal{D}^*(\zeta_0^* w^*) + [\mathcal{A}_h, \zeta_0^*]w^*\,.$$

Applying the transformation (3.7) yields

$$(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)(\zeta_0 w) = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\zeta_0 f + [\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w - R(\zeta_0 w)\,. \tag{4.33}$$

where $f$, $\zeta_0$, and $w$ are respectively obtained from $f^*$, $\zeta_0^*$, and $w^*$ via the dilation $\cdot(\xi,\tau) = \cdot^*(s,t)$, in which $(\xi,\tau)$ are given by (3.7), $R$ is given by (3.10) and $\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\lambda^*$.

We next apply to (4.33) the operator $P_1$ defined in (4.13). Since $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ and $P_1$ commute, we easily obtain from (4.16) that

$$\|P_1(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-1}\|f\|_2 + \|[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w\|_2 + \|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2)\,. \tag{4.34}$$

We now attempt to estimate $\|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2$. We first note that $R$ is given by (3.10). We then observe that

$$\Big|\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}[V - V(x_0)] - \tau - \varepsilon^{1/2}\frac{1}{2}\xi^2\Big| \leq C(\varepsilon^{5/4}\xi^3 + \varepsilon^{3/4}\tau\xi + \varepsilon\tau^2) \quad \forall x \in B(x_0,\delta)\,, \tag{4.35}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2}\Big(\tau + \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{2}\xi^2\Big) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}|V(x) - V(x_0)| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)} \quad \forall x \in supp(\zeta_0)\,,$$

we obtain that for some $C > 0$

$$\operatorname{supp}\zeta_0 \subset \{(\xi,\tau)\,|\,|\xi| \le C\varepsilon^{-3/4+\rho/2}\,,\ 0 \le \tau < C\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)}\}\,. \tag{4.36}$$

Consequently, by (4.35) we have that

$$\zeta_0\Big|\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}[V - V(x_0)] - \tau - \varepsilon^{1/2}\frac{1}{2}\xi^2\Big| \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1}\,.$$

Hence,

$$\Big\|\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}[V - V(x_0)] - \tau - \varepsilon^{1/2}\frac{1}{2}\xi^2\Big)\zeta_0 w\Big\|_2 \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1}\|\zeta_0 w\|_2\,. \tag{4.37}$$

To complete the estimation of $R(\zeta_0 w)$, it is necessary to bound

$$\tilde{R}(\zeta_0 w) = \varepsilon^{3/2}\Big\|\tau(\zeta_0 w)_{\xi\xi}\Big\|_2 + \varepsilon^{9/4}\|\tau(\zeta_0 w)_\xi\|_2 + \varepsilon\|(\zeta_0 w)_\tau\|_2\,. \tag{4.38}$$

Since by (4.36) we have that

$$\|\zeta_0\|_{C^{2,0}} \le C\,,$$

we have by (3.7), (4.28), and (4.36) that

$$\Big\|\tau(\zeta_0 w)_{\xi\xi}\Big\|_2 \le C\Big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3/2-\rho}}\|w\|_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{5/2-\rho}}\|f\|_2\Big)\,. \tag{4.39}$$

Furthermore,

$$\|\tau(\zeta_0 w)_\xi\|_2 \le C\Big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/4}}\|w\|_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{9/4-\rho}}\|f\|_2\Big)\,, \tag{4.40}$$

and

$$\|(\zeta_0 w)_\tau\|_2 \le C(\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-1}\|f\|_2)\,.$$

Substituting the above together with (4.40) and (4.39) into (4.38) then yields

$$\tilde{R}(\zeta_0 w) \le C(\varepsilon^\rho\|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2)\,. \tag{4.41}$$

Combining the above with (4.37) yields

$$\|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 \le C(\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1}\|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2)\,. \tag{4.42}$$

We now turn to estimate $[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w$. From (4.21) we learn that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some $\varepsilon_0(n)$, such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w\|_2 = \frac{\alpha}{c}\varepsilon^{-7/8}\Big\|\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}[\mathcal{A}_h, \zeta_0^*]w^*\Big\|_2 \le \\ C\varepsilon^{9/8}[\varepsilon^{-2\rho}(\|\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n-1}w^*\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n-1}w^*\|_2) + \varepsilon^{-\tilde{\rho}}(\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n-1}w^*)\|_2 \\ + \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n-1}w^*)\|_2)] \le C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-15/8}\|w^*\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f^*\|_2) \\ \le C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-1}\|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Substituting the above together with (4.42) into (4.34) yields

$$\|P_1(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 \le C(\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1}\|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2)\,. \tag{4.44}$$

We now turn to estimate $\Pi_0(w)$. Taking the inner product of (4.33) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C})$ with $\bar{v}_0$ yields

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi-\tilde{\lambda})w_0=\varepsilon^{-1/2}\Big\langle \bar{v}_0,\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}\zeta_0 f-R(\zeta_0 w)+[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon,\zeta_0]w\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+}, \tag{4.45}$$

where $w_0=\langle\bar{v}_0,\zeta_0 w\rangle$, and $\tilde{\lambda}=\varepsilon^{-1/2}(\lambda-\lambda_0)$. (Note that $\Pi_0(\zeta_0 w)=w_0(\xi)v_0(\tau)$.) Multiplying (4.45) by $\bar{w}_0$ and integrating by parts yields, from the imaginary part

$$\|\xi w_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le C\big(\|w_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\varepsilon^{-1/2}|\langle\bar{v}_0 w_0,\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta_0 f-R(\zeta_0 w)+[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon,\zeta_0]w\rangle|\big)\,.$$

We now use (4.41), (4.43), and (4.35) to obtain that

$$\|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2+\varepsilon^{\rho-1/2}\|w\|_2+ \\ \varepsilon^{3/4}\|\xi^3\zeta_0 w\|_2+\varepsilon^{1/4}\|\tau\xi\zeta_0 w\|_2+\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\tau^2\zeta_0 w\|_2)$$

In view of (4.36) we then have

$$\|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2+\varepsilon^{\rho-1/2}\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{1/4}\|\xi\zeta_0 w\|_2)\,. \tag{4.46}$$

We now use (4.44) to obtain

$$\|\xi\zeta_0 w\|_2\le\|\xi P_1(\zeta_0 w)\|_2+\|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le C(\varepsilon^{2\rho-7/4}\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2)+\|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,.$$

Substituting the above into (4.46) then yields

$$\|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{3}{2}}\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2)\,,$$

and hence,

$$\|\xi\zeta_0 w\|_2\le C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{7}{4}}\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2)\,.$$

From the above and (4.44) once again we can conclude that

$$\|\xi^3\zeta_0 w\|_2\le C\varepsilon^{-3/2+\rho}\|\xi\zeta_0 w\|_2\le C\varepsilon^{-3/2+\rho}(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{7}{4}}\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2)\,. \tag{4.47}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|\xi\tau\zeta_0 w\|_2\le C\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)}(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{7}{4}}\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{3/2}\|f\|_2)\,.$$

The above, together with (4.47), (4.35), and (4.36) yield the following improvement of (4.37) (recall that $\|\Pi_0(w)\|_2\le C\|w\|_2$)

$$\Big\|\Big[\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2}[V-V(x_0)]-\tau-\varepsilon^{1/2}\frac{1}{2}\xi^2\Big]\zeta_0 w\Big\|_2\le C\varepsilon^{\rho-1/4}(\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2)\,.$$

We now combine the above inequality with (4.41) to obtain an improved version of (4.42)

$$\|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2\le C\varepsilon^{\rho-1/4}(\varepsilon^{\tilde{\rho}}\|w\|_2+\varepsilon^{3/2}\|f\|_2)\,. \tag{4.48}$$

Returning to (4.33) we obtain from (4.7) that

$$\|\zeta_0 w\|_2\le\frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}}(\varepsilon^2\|f\|_2+\|[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon,\zeta_0]w\|_2+\|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2)\,.$$

With the aid of (4.43) and (4.48) we then obtain

$$\|\zeta_0 w\|_2\le\frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}}(\varepsilon^{-1}\|f\|_2+\varepsilon^{5/8}\|w\|_2)\,,$$

from which (4.32) easily follows. ∎

**Remark 4.8.** *Clearly,* (4.32) *can be extended to the neighborhood of each point in* $\mathcal{S}$*. Thus, if we set for any* $x_j \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\zeta_j^*(\varepsilon,\rho) = [1-(\tilde\chi_{\varepsilon,n}^-)^2-(\tilde\chi_{\varepsilon,n}^+)^2]\mathbf{1}_{B(x_j,\delta)\cap\Omega}\,, \tag{4.49}$$

*where* $\delta>0$ *is so chosen so that* $B(x_j,\delta)\cap\Gamma=\{x_j\}$ *for all* $j\in J_{\mathcal{S}}$*. Then,*

$$\|\zeta_j^* w^*\|_2 \le \frac{C}{r}(\varepsilon^{3/2}\|f\|_2+\varepsilon^{1/8}\|w^*\|_2)\,. \tag{4.50}$$

We can now estimate $\|(\mathcal{A}_h-\lambda^*)^{-1}f\|$ in the simplest possible case where $\Gamma=\{x_0\}$.

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let* $f\in L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$ *satisfy* (4.17)*. Let* $\lambda^*\in\partial B(\Lambda_0,r\varepsilon^{-1/2})\subset\rho(\mathcal{A}_h)$*, where* $\Lambda_0$ *is given by* (4.30)*, for some* $\varepsilon^{1/8}\ll r<1$*. Then, there exists* $C>0$ *such that for sufficiently small* $\varepsilon$ *we have*

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_h-\lambda^*)^{-1}f\|_2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{3/2}r}\|f\|_2\,. \tag{4.51}$$

*Proof.* Since $\Gamma=\{x_0\}$ we may set with any loss of generality $\Omega=\Omega_+$. Hence, we have that $\chi_{\varepsilon,n}^+=\zeta_0^*$, where $\zeta_0^*$ is defined by (4.31). Let $w=(\mathcal{A}_h-\lambda)^{-1}f$. Then,

$$\|w\|_2^2=\|\chi_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2+\|\tilde\chi_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2=\|\zeta_0^* w\|_2^2+\|\tilde\chi_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2\,.$$

The corollary now easily follows from (4.21a) and (4.32). ∎

Consider next the general case where $\Gamma\setminus\{x_0\}\neq\emptyset$. We begin by defining some local approximations of the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_h$. Let $\rho\in(7/8,1)$, and then define two sets of indices $J_{\partial\Omega}=J_{\partial\Omega}(\varepsilon)$ and $J_\Omega=J_\Omega(\varepsilon)$. Set then $J=J_{\partial\Omega}\cup J_\Omega$ and let $\delta>0$ be the same as in (4.31). Next, choose a sequence of points $(x_j)_{j\in J}=(x_j(\varepsilon))_{j\in J}\subset\bar\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{j\in J_{\mathcal{S}}}B(x_j,\delta)$, where $x_j\in\partial\Omega$ (respectively $x_j\in\Omega$) if $j\in J_{\partial\Omega}$ (respectively $j\in\Omega$), such that

$$\bar\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{j\in J_{\mathcal{S}}}B(x_j,\delta)\subset\bigcup_{j\in J}B(x_j,\varepsilon^\rho)\,.$$

Let $(\eta_j)_{j\in J}$ be a family of cutoff functions associated with the partition above, namely $\eta_j(x)=1$ if $x\in B(x_j,\varepsilon^\rho/2)$, $\operatorname{Supp}\eta_j\subset B(x_j,\varepsilon^\rho)$, and

$$\forall x\in\bar\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{j\in J_{\mathcal{S}}}B(x_j,\delta)\,,\ \sum_{j\in J}\eta_j(x)^2=1\,.$$

We further assume that for all $j\in J$, $\|\nabla\eta_j\|_\infty=\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-\rho})$ and $\|\Delta\eta_j\|_\infty=\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2\rho})$. Finally we set, for all $j\in J$,

$$\chi_j=\eta_j\mathbf{1}_{\bar\Omega}\,.$$

In the neighborhood of each point $x_j$, $j\in J_\Omega$, we shall approximate $\mathcal{A}_h$ by the following operator:

$$\mathcal{A}_{j,h}:=-\frac{\varepsilon^3c^4}{\alpha^3}\Delta+i(\mathbf{c}_j.x+V(x_j)-V(x_0))\,,\ \mathbf{c}_j=(c_j^1,c_j^2)=\nabla V(x_j)\,, \tag{4.52a}$$

whose domain is given by

$$D(\mathcal{A}_{j,h}) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, |x|^2 dx;\mathbb{C})\,. \tag{4.52b}$$

In the neighborhood of the boundary points $x_j$, $j \in J_{\partial\Omega}$, we use different approximate operators, depending on the local behaviour of $V$. To this end, denote by $J^1_{\partial\Omega} \subset J_{\partial\Omega}$ the set of indices $j$ such that $x_j \in \partial\Omega_\perp$ and

$$|\nabla V(x_j)| = |\nabla V(x_0)| = \min_{x\in\partial\Omega_\perp} |\nabla V(x)|\,.$$

Notice that $J^1_{\partial\Omega}$ may be an empty set, since $x_0 \notin \bar\Omega \setminus B(x_0,\delta)$. We then let $J^2_{\partial\Omega} = J_{\partial\Omega} \setminus J^1_{\partial\Omega}$ and $J^3_{\partial\Omega} = J^1_{\partial\Omega} \setminus J_{\mathcal{S}}$. In the neighborhood of the boundary points $x_j$ for $j \in J^2_{\partial\Omega}$, we use the following approximation of $\mathcal{A}_h$. Let $(t,s)$ be the same curvilinear coordinate system as defined in Section 3, centered at $x_j$. In these coordinates the leading order approximation of $\mathcal{A}_h$ reads

$$\mathcal{A}_{j,h} = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3}\Delta + i(\mathbf{c}_j.(t,s) + V(x_j) - V(x_0))\,,\ \mathbf{c}_j = (c_j^1, c_j^2) = \nabla V(x_j)\,, \tag{4.53a}$$

with the following domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}_{j,h}) = H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+;\mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+;\mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+, (t^2+s^2)dtds;\mathbb{C})\,. \tag{4.53b}$$

In the following we provide resolvent estimates on the approximate operators $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ introduced above. These estimates are stated in the following lemma

**Lemma 4.10.** *There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that, for all $r \in (0, r_0)$ and $j \in J$, $\partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}_{j,h})$, where $\Lambda_0$ is given by (4.30). Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ and for all $j \in J_\Omega \cup J^2_{\partial\Omega}$,*

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)^{-1}\|_2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\,. \tag{4.54}$$

*Proof.* Let $j \in J_\Omega$. Recall that the operator $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ is given in this case by (4.53). It has been established in [3, 9] that $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ has empty spectrum, and for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $C_\omega > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\mathrm{Re}\, z \le \omega} \left\|(-\Delta + i\mathbf{c}_j.x - z)^{-1}\right\| \le C_\omega\,. \tag{4.55}$$

Since the scale change $x \mapsto \alpha/(\varepsilon c^{4/3})x$ gives

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)^{-1}\| = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \left\| \Big( -\Delta + i\Big[\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}}\big(V(x_j) - V(x_0)\big) + \mathbf{c}_j.x\Big] - \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}}\lambda^* \Big)^{-1} \right\|\,. \tag{4.56}$$

and since $\alpha/(\varepsilon c^{4/3})\lambda^*$ remains bounded as $\varepsilon \to 0$, (4.55) and (4.56) easily yield (4.54) for any $j \in J_\Omega$.

The same argument can be used in the case where $j \in J^2_{\partial\Omega}$ with $x_j \notin \partial\Omega_\perp$, since the operator $-\Delta + ic_j^1 t + ic_j^2 s$ on $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ has empty spectrum and satisfies (4.55) as well as soon as $c_j^2 \neq 0$, see Theorem A.3.

We next consider the case where $j \in J^2_{\partial\Omega}$ and $x_j \in \partial\Omega_\perp$. Then,

$$\mathcal{A}_{j,h} = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3}\Delta + i\big(c_j t + V(x_j) - V(x_0)\big)$$

where $c_j := c_j^1$. The domain $D(\mathcal{A}_{j,h})$ is given by ((4.53)b). Suppose that $c_j > 0$ (otherwise apply the same argument to the operator $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}^*$). Denote by $\mathcal{A}_0^\perp$ the Dirichlet realization on $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ of the operator $-\Delta + it$. Then, the scale change

$$(t,s) \longmapsto \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}}\,(t,s)$$

gives

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)^{-1}\| = \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \left\| \Big( \mathcal{A}_0^\perp + i \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \big( V(x_j) - V(x_0) \big) - \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \lambda^* \Big)^{-1} \right\| . \tag{4.57}$$

By the definition of $J^2_{\partial\Omega}$, we have $c_j < c$. Hence for any fixed $\delta_0 \in (0,1)$ we have

$$\frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \lambda^* = \left( \frac{c}{c_j} \right)^{2/3} \lambda_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2}) \leq (1-\delta_0)\lambda_0$$

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. It has been established in [9] that

$$\sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \leq (1-\delta_0)\lambda_0} \|(\mathcal{A}_0^\perp - z)^{-1}\| < +\infty\,.$$

Consequently, (4.54) follows from (4.57) and the above estimate. ∎

We now extend (4.51) to the general case

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let $\varepsilon^{1/8} \ll r < 1$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,* (4.51) *holds for any $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying* (4.17)*, and $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2})$.*

*Proof.* Let $w = (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f$. Let $j \in J^2_{\partial\Omega} \cup J_\Omega$. Clearly

$$(\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)(\chi_j w) = [\mathcal{A}_h, \chi_j] w - (\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h})(\chi_j w)\,. \tag{4.58}$$

We now attempt to estimate the right-hand-side of (4.58). Clearly,

$$\|[\mathcal{A}_h, \chi_j] w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-2\rho} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))} + C\varepsilon^{-\rho} \|\nabla(\chi_j w)\|_2\,. \tag{4.59}$$

As

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \chi_j^2 w, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*) w \rangle = \|\nabla(\chi_j w)\|_2^2 - \lambda^* \|\chi_j w\|_2^2 - \|w \nabla \chi_j\|_2^2 = 0\,,$$

we obtain that

$$\|\nabla(\chi_j w)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))}\,, \tag{4.60}$$

which, when substituted into (4.59) yields

$$\|[\mathcal{A}_h, \chi_j] w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-(1+\rho)} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))}\,. \tag{4.61}$$

We now attempt to estimate $(\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h})(\chi_j w)$. By (4.53) and (4.52) we have that

$$\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h} = i \frac{\alpha^3}{\varepsilon^3 c^4} \big( V(x) - V(x_j) - \mathbf{c}_j.(x - x_j) \big)\,.$$

Consequently,

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h})(\chi_j w)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-3+2\rho} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))}\,.$$

Combining the above with (4.61), (4.58), and (4.54) yields

$$\|\chi_j w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2\rho-1}\|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j,\varepsilon^\rho))}\,. \tag{4.62}$$

Consider next the case where $j \in J^3_{\partial\Omega}$. Here we have

$$\mathrm{Im}\,\langle \chi_j^2 w, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)w\rangle = \frac{\alpha^3 c_j}{\varepsilon^3 c^4}\||V(\cdot)-V(x_0)|^{1/2}\chi_j w\|_2^2 - \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda^*\|\chi_j w\|_2^2 + 2\mathrm{Im}\,\langle w\nabla\chi_j, \chi_j\nabla w\rangle = 0\,.$$

By (1.3), there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|V(x_j) - V(x_0)| > \delta_1$. Consequently,

$$\|\chi_j w\|_2^2 \leq C[\varepsilon\|\chi_j w\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^3\|w\nabla\chi_j\|_2\|\chi_j\nabla w\|_2]\,.$$

With the aid of (4.60), which is valid for every $j \in J$, we then obtain

$$\|\chi_j w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho/2}\|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j,\varepsilon^\rho))}\,. \tag{4.63}$$

Combining (4.63) and (4.62) then yields

$$\|w\|_{L^2\left(\Omega\setminus \bigcup\limits_{j\in J_{\mathcal{S}}} B(x_j,\delta)\right)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho/2}\sum_{j\in J_\Omega\cup J^2_{\partial\Omega}}\|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j,\varepsilon^\rho))} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho/2}\|w\|_2\,. \tag{4.64}$$

We conclude the proof by recalling that for all $j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}$ we have, by (4.50)

$$\|\zeta_j^* w\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r}(\varepsilon^{3/2}\|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/8}\|w\|_2)\,. \tag{4.65}$$

Furthermore, let

$$\tilde{\zeta_j^*}^2 + (\zeta_j^*)^2 = \mathbf{1}_{B(x_j,\delta)}\,.$$

Then, by (4.21a)

$$\|\tilde{\zeta_j^*} w\|_2^2 \leq \|\tilde{\chi}^+_{\varepsilon,n} w\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{\chi}^-_{\varepsilon,n} w\|_2^2 \leq C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-1}\|w\|_2 + e^{-c\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}}\|f\|_2)\,.$$

which, together with (4.65) and (4.64) yields (4.17). ∎

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let $U$ be given by (3.23) and $\Lambda_0$ be given by (4.30). Let $f = (\mathcal{A}_h - \Lambda_0)(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U)$. Then, for $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}_h)$ where $\varepsilon^{1/8} \ll r < 1$,

$$(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U) = f + (\Lambda_0 - \lambda)\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U\,.$$

Hence

$$\langle \eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1}(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\lambda - \Lambda_0}[1 - \langle \eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1}f\rangle]$$

By (4.51) and (3.26) we then obtain that

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1}f\|_2 \leq C\frac{\varepsilon^{-3/2}}{r}\|f\|_2 \leq C\frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{r} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/4}\,.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{\partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-3/2})}\langle \eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1}(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}}U)\rangle \leq -1 + C\varepsilon^{1/4}\,.$$

Hence $(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1}$ is not holomorphic in $B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-3/2})$ and the Theorem is proved via (3.9). ∎

## Appendix A. Spectral analysis of (4.53))

In the following we provide the spectrum, semigroup estimates, and resolvent estimates for the operator $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ given by (4.53). This operator has already been investigated in [3, 9], but since resolvent estimates have not been obtained there we derive them here.

Let $\mathbf{c} = (c^1, c^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $c^2 \neq 0$. We study here the spectrum and the resolvent of the Dirichlet realization in $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t > 0\}$ of $-\Delta + i(c^1 t + c^2 s)$, whose domain is given by (4.53b). The imaginary part of the potential

$$\ell(t,s) = \mathbf{c} \cdot (t,s)$$

does not have a constant sign, hence we are unable to use the variational approach to define the operator. We shall instead define the operator by separation of variables.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_s = -\partial_s^2 + ic^2 s\,, \tag{A.1}$$

and let $\mathcal{A}_t^+$ be the Dirichlet realization in $\mathbb{R}_+$ of the complex Airy operator

$$-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + ic^1 t\,. \tag{A.2}$$

Both $\mathcal{A}_s$ and $\mathcal{A}_t^+$ are maximally accretive and hence they serve as generators of contraction semigroups $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s})_{t>0}$ and $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+})_{t>0}$ respectively. One can easily verify that the family $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s} \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+})_{t>0}$ is a contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. Thus, we can define the desired operator as follows:

**Definition A.1.** *$\mathcal{A}_+$ is the generator of the semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s} \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+})_{t>0}$.*

Let $D = D(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_t^+)$ be the set of all finite linear combinations of functions of the form $f \otimes g = f(s)g(t)$, where $f \in D(\mathcal{A}_s)$ and $g \in D(\mathcal{A}_t^+)$. Then it is clear that $D$ satisfies the conditions of [11, Theorem X.49], hence $\mathcal{A}_+ = \overline{\mathcal{A}_{+|D}}$. Consequently, we may chacterize $D(\mathcal{A}_+)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{A}_+) \;=\; \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+) : \exists (u_j)_{j\geq 1} \subset D\,,\; u_j \xrightarrow[j\to+\infty]{L^2} u\,, \\ (\mathcal{A}_+ u_j)_{j\geq 1} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence } \}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

In the following lemma we give a more constructive description of $D(\mathcal{A}_+)$.

**Lemma A.2.** *We have*

$$D(\mathcal{A}_+) = H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+; |\ell(t,s)|^2 dtds)\,, \tag{A.4}$$

*and there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_+)$,*

$$\|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} + \|\ell u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} \leq \|\mathcal{A}_+ u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)}\,. \tag{A.5}$$

**Proof:** Let $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_+)$ and $(u_j)_{j\geq 1} \subset D$ such that $u_j \xrightarrow[j\to+\infty]{L^2} u$ and $(\mathcal{A}_+ u_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Then, using the identity

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle \mathcal{A}_+ u_j, u_j\rangle = \|\nabla u_j\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)}\,,$$

which holds for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that $(\nabla u_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ and hence

$$u_j \xrightarrow[j\to+\infty]{H^1} u\,, \tag{A.6}$$

and $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$.

To prove (A.5), we write (hereafter $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\mathbb{C})$ norm)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_+ u_j\|^2 &= \langle(-\Delta + i\ell)u_j, (-\Delta+i\ell)u_j\rangle \\ &= \|\Delta u_j\|^2 + \|\ell u_j\|^2 + 2\mathrm{Im}\,\langle -\Delta u_j, \ell u_j\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Im}\,\langle -\Delta u_j, \ell u_j\rangle &= \mathrm{Im}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \nabla u_j(t,s)\cdot\overline{\nabla(\ell u_j)(t,s)}dtds \\ &= \mathrm{Im}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}\ell(t,s)|\nabla u_j(t,s)|^2 dtds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}\nabla u_j(t,s)\cdot\overline{\nabla\ell(t,s)u_j(t,s)}dtds\right) \\ &= \mathrm{Im}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}\mathbf{c}\cdot\nabla u_j(t,s)\overline{u_j(t,s)}dtds\,, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for some $C>0$,

$$|\mathrm{Im}\,\langle -\Delta u_j, \ell u_j\rangle| \leq C\,\|\nabla u_j\|\,\|u_j\|\,.$$

Thus, by (A.7), (A.5) holds for $u_j$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $(u_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+;|\ell(t,s)|^2dtds)$. Hence, (A.4) follows, and so does (A.5) for every $u\in D(\mathcal{A}_+)$. ⊟

We now obtain the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_+$. Since $\mathcal{A}_s$ has an empty spectrum (see [3, 9]), we expect $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_+)$ to be empty as well [3]. To establish this fact we employ semigroup estimates.

**Theorem A.3.** *We have $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_+)=\emptyset$. Moreover, for every $\omega\in\mathbb{R}$, there exists $C_\omega>0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\mathrm{Re}\,z\leq\omega}\|(\mathcal{A}_+ - z)^{-1}\| \leq C_\omega\,. \tag{A.8}$$

*Finally, the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{A}_+$ satisfies*

$$\forall t>0,\ \|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_+}\| \leq e^{-t^3/12}\,. \tag{A.9}$$

**Proof:** Recall that $e^{-t\mathcal{A}_+} = e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s}\otimes e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+}$, where $\mathcal{A}_s$ and $\mathcal{A}_t^+$ are respectively defined by (A.1) and (A.2). Recall further the following estimates (see [9]):

$$\forall t>0\,,\ \|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s}\| = e^{-t^3/12}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

and for all $\omega<|\mu_1|/2$ ($\mu_1$ being the rightmost zero of Airy's function), there exists $M_\omega>0$ such that

$$\forall t>0\,,\ \|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+}\| \leq M_\omega\, e^{-\omega t}\,. \tag{A.11}$$

Thus, (A.9) follows, and the formula

$$(\mathcal{A}_+ - z)^{-1} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t(\mathcal{A}_+ - z)} dt\,, \tag{A.12}$$

which holds *a priori* for $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$, can be extended to the entire complex plane. Hence the resolvent of $\mathcal{A}_+$ is an entire function, and we must have $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_+) = \emptyset$ together with (A.8). □

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to Bernard Helffer for his valuable comments. Y. Almog was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1109030. R. Henry acknowledges the support of the ANR project NOSEVOL.

## References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, 1972.

[2] S. Agmon, *Lectures on elliptic boundary value problems*, Prepared for publication by B. Frank Jones, Jr. with the assistance of George W. Batten, Jr. Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 2, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto-London, 1965.

[3] Y. Almog, *The Stability of the Normal State of Superconductors in the Presence of Electric Currents*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 40 (2008), pp. 824–850.

[4] Y. Almog, B. Helffer, and X.-B. Pan, *Superconductivity near the normal state in a half-plane under the action of a perpendicular electric current and an induced magnetic field, Part II: The large conductivity limit*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 44 (2012), pp. 3671–3733.

[5] ——, *Superconductivity near the normal state in a half-plane under the action of a perpendicular electric current and an induced magnetic field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 365 (2013), pp. 1183–1217.

[6] K. Beauchard, B. Helffer, R. Henry, and L. Robbiano, *Degenerate parabolic operators of Kolmogorov type with a geometric control condition*, To appear in ESAIM: COCV, (2014).

[7] E. B. Davies, *Linear operators and their spectra*, vol. 106 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[8] B. Helffer, *Thorie spectrale pour des oprateurs globalement elliptiques*, Astrisque, 1984.

[9] B. Helffer, *On spectral problems related to a time dependent model in superconductivity with electric current*, in Proceedings of Evian conference., June 2009.

[10] R. Henry, *On the semiclassical analysis of Schrdinger operators with purely imaginary electric potentials in a bounded domain*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.6183.

[11] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978.

[12] A. A. Shkalikov, *Spectral portraits of the Orr-Sommerfeld operator at large Reynolds numbers*, Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl., 3 (2003), pp. 89–112.

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

*E-mail address*, Y. Almog: `almog@math.lsu.edu`

Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France.

*E-mail address*, R. Henry: `raphael.henry@math.u-psud.fr`